UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,758	12/03/2003	Roy Schoenberg	66729/P029US/10613663	4221
29053 7590 01/09/2008 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P				IINER
2200 ROSS AVENUE			LEWIS, CHERYL RENEA	
SUITE 2800 DALLAS, TX	75201-2784		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			2167	
·	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/726,758	SCHOENBERG, ROY			
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cheryl Lewis	2167			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asy be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on <u>16 O</u>	ctober 2007.				
2a) This action	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	application is in condition for allowar accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	·				
Disposition of Clair	· ·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 4a) Of the a 5)□ Claim(s) _ 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7)□ Claim(s) _	-52 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) is/are withdrav is/are allowed52 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10) The drawin Applicant m Replacemen	cation is objected to by the Examine g(s) filed on is/are: a) accept ay not request that any objection to the ont drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderating or b) objected to by the liderating or by the liderating of the drawing of the liderating of the drawing of the liderating of the lider	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119					
a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Copi	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority documents ified copies of the priority documents ies of the certified copies of the prior ication from the International Bureau ched detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
· —	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number:

10/726,758 Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the applicants' communication received on October 16, 2007.
- 2. Claims 1-46 are presented for examination.
- 3. The applicants have cancelled claims 47-55. The applicants have not amended or added any new claims.
- 4. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-46 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number:

10/726,758 Art Unit: 2167

Claims 1-46 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-52 of copending Application No. 10/727184. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of claims 1-46 of the instant application are similarly claimed in claims 1-52 of application no. 10/727184.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

NAME OF CONTACT

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4113. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

(571) 273-4113 (Use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions.).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/ Technology Center (571) 272-2100.

Application/Control Number:

10/726,758 Art Unit: 2167

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cheryl Lewis/ Patent Examiner, A.U. 2167 January 2, 2008